



Optimizing the Existing Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) Vaccination Doses and Coverage for Prevention HPV-Attributable Cancers in Nigeria

Presented on May 9 2023

By

Edima Ottoho – Avarynth Group

PRESENTATION OUTLINE



1

Background

2

Problem Analysis using a Theoretical Approach (Social Ecological Model)

3

Key Considerations

4

Literature Review/Evidence-Base

5

Conclusion/Recommendations

BACKGROUND

Current Situation:

- Low HPV vaccination coverage = 1.4%
- Gender-biased administration (young girls only)
- High incidence rates of HPV-attributable cancers but long-neglected/grey disease intervention area.
- Funding constraints – High vaccine costs and Federal cuts
- Recently received a commitment of 8 million doses for 2023 from Merck, and 11 million in 2021 for free, routine immunization.



Goal:

Take a rational, evidence-based decision in ensuring an optimized use of the HPV vaccine doses for the prevention of related cancers.

Source: NGITAG, 2022; Azuogu et al 2019; Bruni 2023; Ishola, 2022.

THEORETICAL APPROACH TO PROBLEM ANALYSIS

Using the Social Ecological Model (SEM) lens in reflecting on the problem

Societal/Policy level

No HPV vaccination policy, program, and data surveillance and monitoring systems in the country

Community level

No services and structures; feminization of HPV vaccination

Institutional Level

Only a few sophisticated clinics offer HPV vaccines at high costs

Interpersonal level

Parents' willingness-to-pay, low income parents

Individual level

Low awareness, gender, age

Source: CDC 2023; NGITAG, 2022; Azuogu et al 2019; Bruni 2023; Ishola, 2022; Umeh et al 2016.

KEY CONSIDERATIONS

CONSIDERATION #1: Whether to administer single, two-dose, or three doses of the HPV vaccine?

CONSIDERATION #2: Whether to continue the gender-biased (conventional feminized approach) or gender-neutral approach (boys + girls aged 9 – 14)

CONSIDERATION #3: Ensure that efficacy, equity in distribution, cost-effectiveness, and social return on investment are factored in the decision-making process

LITERATURE REVIEW & EVIDENCE-BASE

Equity Perspective: WHO and CDC recommend a gender-neutral vaccination approach to achieve herd immunity from HPV, and enhance reach/coverage

Efficacy/Effectiveness Perspective: Systematic review and meta-analyses of 22 RCAs showed that single-dose HPV vaccination offered similar protection against HPV infection, pre-cancerous cervical lesions, and anogenital warts, and was non-inferior to 2 or 3 doses over a 10-year period., and was recommended for low resource, low-vaccination coverage settings:

Economic perspective:

Cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA) in the Netherlands indicates that also vaccinating boys would prevent 18, 13, and 25 more cases of anal, penile, and oropharyngeal cancers in men respectively, and save 205 QALYs.

CEA and meta-analysis in 188 countries found the single-dose vaccine to be highly cost-effective compared to the two-dose option.

Social return on investment (SROI) of a study in Italy showed HPV vaccination for adolescents was the most economically viable compared to vaccination for herpes in adults and influenza in the elderly.

CONCLUSION /PROPOSITION

Our recommendation is that single dose, gender-neutral Gardasil-4valent HPV vaccine schedule should be introduced into the free, routine immunization schedule this year and beyond for children 9-14 years for the following reasons:

- It will help to increase reach/coverage and promote equitable distribution.
- It is also relatively efficacious as it grants up to 10-year protection.
- It is economically viable (cost-effective and gives a good SROI)
- The 10-year span also gives ample time for the Health Ministry to put in place more sustainable funding and vaccine supply mechanisms for the country.

REFERENCES

1. Nigeria Immunization Technical Advisory Group (NGITAG). *Updated HPV Technical Dossier on Quadrivalent HPV (Gardasil-4valent) 1 -Dose Regimen.*; 2022. Accessed May 7, 2023. <https://www.nitag-resource.org/sites/default/files/2023-02/Updated%20HPV%20recommendation%20on%20i%20dose%20regimen%20Technical%20dossier.pdf>
2. Azuogu B, Umeokonkwo C, Azuogu V, Onwe O, Okedo-Alex I, Egbuji C. Appraisal of willingness to vaccinate daughters with human papilloma virus vaccine and cervical cancer screening uptake among mothers of adolescent students in Abakaliki, Nigeria. *Niger J Clin Pract.* 2019;22(9):1286. doi:10.4103/njcp.njcp_452_18
3. Bruni L AGSBMMCMJGDMJBF de SS. *Human Papillomavirus and Related Diseases in Nigeria. Summary Report.*; 2023. Accessed May 7, 2023. <https://hpvcentre.net/statistics/reports/NGA.pdf>
4. Funke Ishola. Costs cast cloud over Nigeria's HPV vaccine plan. Sci Dev Net. Published August 12, 2022. Accessed May 7, 2023. https://www.scidev.net/sub-saharan-africa/news/costs-cast-cloud-over-nigerias-hpv-vaccine-plan/?gclid=Cj0KCQjw9deiBhC1ARIsAHLjR2Br9ddufxy6B3oc1igvpmhhH4iZPsIFstFPKj2vFg8UZtreAqvMUHcaAsyaEALw_wcB
5. U.S Centers for Disease Control and Prevention(CDC). The Social-Ecological Model: A Framework for Prevention. Published 2023. Accessed May 7, 2023. <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/about/social-ecologicalmodel.html>
6. Brown B, Folayan M. Barriers to uptake of human papilloma virus vaccine in Nigeria: A population in need. *Nigerian Medical Journal.* 2015;56(4):301. doi:10.4103/0300-1652.165033

REFERENCES

7. Umeh IB, Nduka SO, Ekwunife OI. Mothers' willingness to pay for HPV vaccines in Anambra state, Nigeria: a cross-sectional contingent valuation study. *Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation*. 2016;14(1):8. doi:10.1186/s12962-016-0057-0
8. Whitworth HS, Gallagher KE, Howard N, et al. Efficacy and immunogenicity of a single dose of human papillomavirus vaccine compared to no vaccination or standard three and two-dose vaccination regimens: A systematic review of evidence from clinical trials. *Vaccine*. 2020;38(6):1302-1314. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.12.017
9. PATH. *Global HPV Vaccine Introduction Overview: Projected Current National Introductions Demonstration/Pilot Projects Gender-Neutral Vaccination Programs Global HPV Vaccine Introduction Maps (2006–2022).*; 2021. Accessed May 8, 2023. https://path.azureedge.net/media/documents/Global_Vaccine_Intro_Overview_Slides_Final_PATHwebsite_2021AUG17_fx7PZjH.pdf
10. U.S Center for Disease Control and Prevention. HPV Vaccination Recommendations. Published online 2021. Accessed May 8, 2023. <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpd/hpv/hcp/recommendations.html>
11. Simons JJM, Vida N, Westra TA, Postma MJ. Cost-effectiveness analysis of a gender-neutral human papillomavirus vaccination program in the Netherlands. *Vaccine*. 2020;38(30):4687-4694. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.05.031
12. Prem K, Hong Choi Y, Bénard É, et al. Global impact and cost-effectiveness of one-dose versus two-dose human papillomavirus vaccination schedules: a comparative modeling analysis. Published online 2022. doi:10.1101/2021.02.08.21251186
13. Barbieri M, Boccalini S. Return on Investment (ROI) of Three Vaccination Programmes in Italy: HPV at 12 Years, Herpes Zoster in Adults, and Influenza in the Elderly. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2023;11(5):924. doi:10.3390/vaccines11050924